

① 日本国特許庁 (JP) ② 特許出願公開  
③ 公開特許公報 (A) 昭64-75715

④ Int.Cl.  
E 02 D 5/50  
5/44  
5/54

識別記号

序内整理番号

⑤ 公開 昭和64年(1989)3月2日

A-8404-2D  
A-8404-2D  
8404-2D. 審査請求 未請求 発明の数 1 (全9頁)

⑥ 発明の名称 ソイルセメント合成杭

⑦ 特願 昭62-232536

⑧ 出願 昭62(1987)9月18日

⑨ 発明者 千田 昌平 茨城県鹿嶋市松葉3-5-10  
⑩ 発明者 内藤 桂二 神奈川県川崎市高津区新作1-4-4  
⑪ 発明者 長岡 弘明 京都府千代田区丸の内1丁目1番2号 日本鋼管株式会社  
内  
⑫ 発明者 网本 陸 東京都千代田区丸の内1丁目1番2号 日本鋼管株式会社  
内  
⑬ 発明者 高野 公寿 東京都千代田区丸の内1丁目1番2号 日本鋼管株式会社  
内  
⑭ 出願人 日本鋼管株式会社  
⑮ 代理人 弁理士 佐々木 宗治 外1名

最終頁に続く

明細書

1. 発明の名稱

ソイルセメント合成杭

2. 特許請求の範囲

地盤の中間に形成され、底盤が柱端で所定長さの沈没強度を有するソイルセメント柱と、硬化後のソイルセメント柱と一緒に底盤に所定長さの底盤強度を有する突起部鋼管杭とからなることを特徴とするソイルセメント合成杭。

3. 発明の詳細な説明

【産業上の利用分野】

この発明はソイルセメント合成杭、特に地盤に対する耐久性強度の向上を図るものに関する。

【既存の技術】

一般的には引抜き力に対しては、純自重と剪刃摩擦により抵抗する。このため、引抜き力の大きい送電線の鉄塔等の構造物においては、一般的には設計が引抜き力で決定され押込み力が余る不経済な設計となることが多い。そこで、引抜き力に

対応する工法として従来より第11図に示すアースアンカー工法がある。图において、(1)は構造物である鉄塔、(2)は鉄塔(1)の脚柱で一端が地盤(3)に埋設されている。 (4)は脚柱(2)に一端が連結されたアンカー用ケーブル、(5)は地盤(3)の地中深くに埋設されたアースアンカー、(6)は純である。

従来のアースアンカー工法による鉄塔は上記のように構成され、鉄塔(1)が風によって傾倒した場合、脚柱(2)に引抜き力と押込み力が作用するが、脚柱(2)にはアンカーケーブル(4)を介して地中深く埋設されたアースアンカー(5)が連結されているから、引抜き力に対してアースアンカー(5)が大きな抵抗を有し、鉄塔(1)の倒壊を防止している。また、押込み力に対しては純(6)により抵抗する。

次に、押込み力に対して主張をおいたものとして、従来より第12図に示すは底盤打撃がある。この底盤打撃は地盤(3)をオーバ等で底盤(3a)から支脚(3b)に達するまで叩刺し、支脚

特開昭64-75715(2)

(1b)位置に底板部(7a)を有する穴(1)を形成し、穴(1)内に鉄筋かご(4)を底板部(7a)まで埋込み、かかる後、コンクリートを打設して場所打抜(8)を形成してなるものである。(1a)は場所打抜(8)の始部、(1b)は場所打抜(8)の底底部である。

かかる從来の底板場所打抜は上記のように構成され、場所打抜(8)に引抜き力と押込み力が両側に作用するが、場所打抜(8)の底端は底板部(8b)として形成されており支持面積が大きく、圧縮力に対する耐力は大きいから、押込み力に対して大きな抵抗を有する。

【発明が解決しようとする問題点】

上記のような従来のアースアンカー工法による例えば地場では、押込み力が作用した時、アンカー用ケーブル(4)が屈曲してしまい押込み力に対して底板がきわめて弱く、押込み力にも抵抗するために押込み力に抵抗する工法を採用する必要があるという問題点があった。

また、従来の底板場所打抜では、引抜き力に対

して抵抗する引抜き力は底板部に依存するが、鉄筋量が多いとコンクリートの打設に悪影響を与えることから、一般に底板部近くでは軸部(1a)の第12回のヨーヨー強度の配筋量0.4~0.5%となり、しかも場所打抜(8)の底板部(8b)における地盤(3)の支内筋(8a)との界面摩耗強度が充分な場合の場所打抜(8)の引張り耐力は軸部(1a)の引張り耐力と等しく、底板部(8b)があっても場所打抜(8)の引抜き力に対する抵抗を大きくとることができないという問題点があった。

この発明はかかる問題点を解決するためになされたもので、引抜き力及び押込み力に対しても充分抵抗できるソイルセメント合成柱を得ることを目的としている。

【問題点を解決するための手段】

この発明に係るソイルセメント合成柱は、地盤の地中内に形成され、底端が底板で所定長さの底板部底板部を有するソイルセメント柱と、硬化前のソイルセメント柱内に注入され、硬化後のソイルセメント柱と一緒に底端に所定長さの底端は大部を有する突起付鋼管柱とからなるソイルセメント合成柱とすることにより、鉄筋コンクリートによる場所打抜に比べて鋼管柱を内包しているため、ソイルセメント合成柱の引張り耐力は大きくなり、しかもソイルセメント柱の端部に底板部底板部を設けたことにより、地盤の支持力とソイルセメント柱間の界面摩耗が増大し、界面摩耗による支持力を増大させている。この支持力の増大に対応させて突起付鋼管柱の底端に底板部大部を設けることにより、ソイルセメント柱と鋼管柱の界面摩耗強度を増大させているから、引張り耐力が大きくなつたとしても、突起付鋼管柱がソイルセメント柱から抜けることは

なくなる。

【実施例】

第1図はこの発明の一実施例を示す断面図、第2図(a)乃至(d)はソイルセメント合成柱の施工工程を示す断面図、第3図は底盤ビットと底盤ビットが取り付けられた突起付鋼管柱を示す断面図、第4図は突起付鋼管柱の本体部と底端部大部を示す平面図である。

図において、(10)は地盤、(11)は地盤(10)の軟弱層、(12)は地盤(10)の支撑層、(13)は底板部(10)の軸部(1a)と支持層(12)に形成されたソイルセメント柱、(13a)はソイルセメント柱(13)の第一段階、(13b)はソイルセメント柱(13)の所定の長さd<sub>2</sub>を有する底板部底板部、(14)はソイルセメント柱(13)内に注入された突起付鋼管柱、(14a)は鋼管柱(14)の本体部、(14b)は鋼管柱(14)の底端に形成された本体部(14a)より底端で所定長さd<sub>1</sub>を有する底端部大部、(15)は鋼管柱(14)内に挿入された先端に底盤ビット(16)を有する鋼管管、(16a)は底盤ビット(16)に設けられ

特開昭64-75715(3)

たが、(17)は押付ロッドである。

この実施例のソイルセメント合成杭は第2回(a)乃至(d)に示すように施工される。

地盤(10)上の所定の穿孔位置に、鉛具ピット(18)を有する鋼管管(16)を内部に押送させた突起付鋼管杭(14)を立設し、突起付钢管杭(14)を運動力等で地盤(10)にねじ込むと共に鋼管管(16)を回転させて鉛具ピット(18)により穿孔しながら、押付ロッド(17)の先端からセメント系硬化剤からなるセメントミルク等の注入材を出して、ソイルセメント柱(13)を形成していく。そしてソイルセメント柱(13)が地盤(10)の軟弱層(11)の所定深さに達したら、鉛具ピット(18)をばけては大振りを行い、支持層(12)まで掘り進み、底盤が底盤で所定長さの底盤部(13b)を有するソイルセメント柱(13)を形成する。このとき、ソイルセメント柱(13)内には、底盤に底盤の底盤部(13b)を有する突起付钢管杭(14)も挿入されている。なお、ソイルセメント柱(13)の硬化時に押付ロッド(17)及び鋼管管(16)を引き抜いておく。

においては、圧縮耐力の強いソイルセメント柱(13)と引張耐力の強い突起付钢管杭(14)とでソイルセメント合成杭(18)が形成されているから、杭体に対する押込み力の抵抗は勿論、引抜き力に対する抵抗が、従来の底盤層所打ち杭に比べて格段に向上した。

また、ソイルセメント合成杭(18)の引張耐力を増大させた場合、ソイルセメント柱(13)と突起付钢管杭(14)間の付着強度が小さければ、引抜き力に対してソイルセメント合成杭(18)全体が地盤(10)から抜けれる前に突起付钢管杭(14)がソイルセメント柱(13)から抜けてしまうおそれがある。しかし、地盤(10)の軟弱層(11)と支持層(12)に形成されたソイルセメント柱(13)がその底盤に底盤で所定長さの底盤部(13b)を有し、その底盤部(13b)内に突起付钢管杭(14)の所定長さの底盤部(13b)が位置するから、ソイルセメント柱(13)の底盤には底盤部(13b)を設け、底盤での耐荷重が第一段階(13a)より増大したことによって地盤(10)の支持層(12)とソイルセメン

ソイルセメントが硬化すると、ソイルセメント柱(13)と突起付钢管杭(14)とが一体となり、底盤に底盤部(13b)を有するソイルセメント合成杭(18)の形成が完了する。(13a)はソイルセメント合成杭(18)の一級部である。

この実施例では、ソイルセメント柱(13)の形成と同時に突起付钢管杭(14)も同様にソイルセメント合成杭(18)が形成されるが、予めオーナ等によりソイルセメント柱(13)だけを形成し、ソイルセメント硬化時に突起付钢管杭(14)を挿入してソイルセメント合成杭(18)を形成することもできる。

第6図は突起付钢管杭の断面図を示す断面図、第7図は第6図に示す突起付钢管杭の実施例の平面図である。この実施例は、突起付钢管杭(24)の本体部(24a)の周縁に複数の突起付钢管板が並列状に突出した底盤部大板部(24b)を有するもので、第3図及び第4図に示す突起付钢管杭(14)と同様に構成する。

上記のように構成されたソイルセメント合成杭

柱(13)間の界面摩擦強度が増大したとしても、これに対応して突起付钢管杭(14)の底盤に底盤部(13b)あるいは底盤部大板部(24b)を設け、底盤での周面面積を増大させることによってソイルセメント柱(13)と突起付钢管杭(14)間の付着力を増大させているから、引張耐力が大きくなつたとしても突起付钢管杭(14)がソイルセメント柱(13)から抜けることはなくなる。従って杭体に対する押込み力は勿論、引抜き力に対してもソイルセメント合成杭(18)は大きな抵抗を有することとなる。なお、钢管は突起付钢管杭(14)としたのは、本体部(14a)及び底盤部大板部(14b)の双方で钢管とソイルセメントの付着強度を高めるためである。

次に、この実施例のソイルセメント合成杭における底盤の関係について具体的に説明する。

ソイルセメント柱(13)の第一段階の径:  $D_{so_1}$

突起付钢管杭(14)の本体部の径:  $D_{st_1}$

ソイルセメント柱(13)の底盤部(13b)の径:

$D_{so_2}$

特開昭64-75715(4)

突起付鋼管杭(14)の底端は大管部の径:  $D_{st_1}$  とすると、次の条件を満足することがます必要である。

$$D_{so_1} > D_{st_1} \quad \dots \dots (a)$$

$$D_{so_2} > D_{so_1} \quad \dots \dots (b)$$

次に、第8図に示すようにソイルセメント合成分の第一段部におけるソイルセメント柱(13)と吹き出し(11)間の単位面積当たりの周面摩耗強度を  $S_1$  、ソイルセメント柱(13)と突起付鋼管杭(14)の単位面積当たりの周面摩耗強度を  $S_2$  とした時、  $D_{so_1}$  と  $D_{st_1}$  は、

$S_2 \geq S_1 (D_{st_1} / D_{so_1})$   $\dots \dots (1)$

の関係を満足するようソイルセメントの配合をきめる。このような配合とすることにより、ソイルセメント柱(13)と地盤(10)間にすべらせ、ここに周面摩耗力を用る。

ところで、いま、軟弱地盤の一輪圧縮強度を  $Q_v = 1 \text{ kN/m}$  、周辺のソイルセメントの一輪圧縮強度を  $Q_s = 5 \text{ kN/m}$  とすると、この時のソイルセメント柱(13)と吹き出し(11)間の単位面積当たり

の周面摩耗強度  $S_1$  は  $S_1 = Q_v / 2 = 0.5 \text{ kN/m}$  。

また、突起付鋼管杭(14)とソイルセメント柱(13)間の単位面積当たりの周面摩耗強度  $S_2$  は、実験結果から  $S_2 = 0.40 \sim 0.4 \times 5 \text{ kN/m} = 2 \text{ kN/m}$  が得られる。上記式(1)の関係から、ソイルセメントの一輪圧縮強度が  $Q_s = 5 \text{ kN/m}$  となつた場合、ソイルセメント柱(13)の第一段部(13a)の径  $D_{so_1}$  と突起付鋼管杭(14)の本体部(14a)の径の比は、4:1とすることが可能となる。

次にソイルセメント合成分の円柱状部(12)について述べる。

突起付鋼管杭(14)の底端は大管部(14b)の径  $D_{st_2}$  は、

$$D_{st_2} \leq D_{so_1} \text{ とする} \quad \dots \dots (c)$$

上述式(c)の条件を満足することにより、突起付鋼管杭(14)の底端は大管部(14b)の押入が可能となる。

次に、ソイルセメント柱(13)の底端地盤基準

$$F_{b_1} = \frac{(Q_v \times 2) \times (D_{so_2} - D_{so_1})}{2} \times \frac{\sqrt{2} \times \pi \times (D_{so_2} + D_{so_1})}{2} \quad \dots \dots (3)$$

いま、ソイルセメント合成分(13)の支持面(12)となる層はひまたは砂礫である。このため、ソイルセメント柱(13)の底端地盤基準部(13b)においては、コンクリートモルタルとなるソイルセメントの強度は大きく一輪圧縮強度  $Q_s = 100 \text{ kN/m}^2$  以上上の強度が期待できる。

ここで、  $Q_v = 100 \text{ kN/m}^2$  、  $D_{so_1} = 1.0 \text{ m}$  、突起付鋼管杭(14)の底端は大管部(14b)の長さ  $d_1$  を  $2.0 \text{ m}$  、ソイルセメント柱(13)の底端地盤基準部(13b)の長さ  $d_2$  を  $2.5 \text{ m}$  、  $S_3$  は道路表示方向から支承面(12)が斜面上の場合、  $8.5 \text{ N/mm}^2$  とすると、  $S_3 = 28 \text{ t/m}^2$  、  $S_4$  は実験結果から  $S_4 = 0.4 \times Q_s = 400 \text{ t/m}^2$  、  $A_4$  が突起付鋼管杭(14)の底端は大管部(14b)のとき、  $D_{so_1} = 1.0 \text{ m}$  、  $d_1 = 2.0 \text{ m}$  とすると、

$$A_4 = \pi \times D_{so_1} \times d_1 = 3.14 \times 1.0 \times 2.0 = 6.28 \text{ m}^2$$

これらの値を上記(3)式に代入し、更に(1)式に

特開昭64-75715(5)

代入して、

$$D_{so_1} = D_{so_1} \cdot S_3 / S_1 \text{ とすると} \\ D_{so_1} \approx 2.2a \text{ となる。}$$

次に、押込み力の作用した場合を考える。

いま、第10図に示すようにソイルセメント住(13)の底圧縮強度(13b)と支持層(12)の単位面積当たりの底圧縮強度を $S_3$ 、ソイルセメント住(13)の底圧縮強度(13b)と突起付钢管住(14)の底圧縮強度(14b)又は底圧縮大部(24b)の単位面積当たりの底圧縮強度を $S_4$ 、ソイルセメント住(13)の底圧縮強度(13b)と突起付钢管住(14)の底圧縮強度(14b)又は底圧縮大部(24b)の付着面積を $A_4$ 、底圧縮強度を $t_{so_2}$ とした時、ソイルセメント住(13)の底圧縮強度(13b)の底 $D_{so_2}$ は次のように決定する。

$$= D_{so_2} \times S_3 \times d_2 + t_{so_2} \times (D_{so_2}/2)^2 \leq A_4 \times S_4 - (1)$$

いま、ソイルセメント合成住(13)の支持層(12)となる時は、ひまたはひ曲である。このため、ソイルセメント住(13)の底圧縮強度(13b)において

される場合の $D_{so_2}$ は約2.1aとなる。

最後にこの発明のソイルセメント合成住と従来のは底圧縮打抜きの引張耐力の比較をしてみる。

従来のは底圧縮打抜きについて、場所打抜き(8)の付添(8a)の付添を1000mm、付添(8a)の第12図の2-2'切削面の付添長を1.6倍とした場合における付添の引張耐力を計算すると、

$$\text{付添長 } \frac{100^2}{4} \times \frac{0.6}{100} = 62.53 \text{ kN}$$

以上の場合の引張耐力を2000kN/m<sup>2</sup>とすると、

付添の引張耐力は $62.53 \times 2000 = 125.06 \text{ ton}$

ここで、付添の引張耐力を付添の引張耐力としているのは場所打抜き(8)が鉄筋コンクリートの場合、コンクリートは引張耐力を弱めできないから鉄筋のみで負担するためである。

次にこの発明のソイルセメント合成住について、ソイルセメント住(13)の第一段部(13a)の付添を1000mm、突起付钢管住(14)の本体部(14a)の口幅を800mm、厚さを19mmとすると、

では、コンクリートモルタルとなるソイルセメントの強度は大きく、一軸圧縮強度 $Q_{so}$ は約1000kN/m<sup>2</sup>程度の強度が得られる。

ここで、 $Q = 1000 \text{ kN/m}^2$ 、 $D_{so_1} = 1.0a$ 、 $d_1 = 2.0a$ 、 $d_2 = 1.6a$ 、

$t_{so_2}$ は通常供試方向から、支持層(12)がひ曲面の場合、 $t_{so_2} = 201/\text{m}$

$S_3$ は底圧縮強度から、 $0.5 \text{ N} = 30\text{kN/m}^2$ とする

$S_4$ は実験結果から $S_4 = 0.4 \times Q_{so} = 400\text{kN/m}^2$ 、 $A_4$ が突起付钢管住(14)の底圧縮大部(24b)のとき、

$$D_{so_1} = 1.0a, d_1 = 2.0a \text{ とすると、}$$

$$A_4 = \pi \times D_{so_1} \times d_1 = 3.14 \times 1.0a \times 2.0 = 6.28\text{m}^2$$

これらの値を上記(1)式に代入して、

$$D_{so_2} \leq D_{so_1} \text{ とすると、}$$

$$D_{so_2} \approx 2.1a \text{ となる。}$$

従って、ソイルセメント住(13)の底圧縮強度(13b)の底 $D_{so_2}$ は引張耐力により決定される場合の $D_{so_2}$ は約2.1aとなり、押込み力により決定

底面積面積 = 461.2 cm<sup>2</sup>

钢管の引張耐力 = 2400kN/m<sup>2</sup>とすると、

突起付钢管住(14)の本体部(14a)の引張耐力は $461.2 \times 2400 = 11115.6\text{ton}$ である。

従って、内側区の底圧縮打抜きの約6倍となる。それ故、従来例に比べてこの発明のソイルセメント合成住では、引張耐力に対して、突起付钢管住の底圧縮強度を大幅に高めて、ソイルセメント住と底圧縮強度を大きくすることによって大きな抵抗をもたらせることが可能となった。

【発明の効果】

この発明は以上説明したとおり、地盤の中内に埋込まれ、底辺がに亘り所定長さの底圧縮強度を有するソイルセメント住と、硬化前のソイルセメント住内に注入され、硬化後のソイルセメント住と一体の底辺に所定長さの底圧縮大部を有する突起付钢管住とかなるソイルセメント合成住としているので、施工の際にソイルセメント工法をとることとなるため、底堅き、底堅めとなり施工が少くなり、また钢管住としているために從

特開昭64-75715(6)

本の底盤場所打前に比べて引抜耐力が向上し、引抜耐力の向上に伴い、突起付鋼管杭の底盤に底盤底大部を設け、底盤での底盤面積を拡大させてソイルセメント柱と底盤底面の付着強度を最大をせているから、突起付鋼管杭がソイルセメント柱から抜けることなく引抜き力に対して大きな抵抗を有するという効果がある。

また、突起付鋼管杭としているので、ソイルセメント柱に対して付着力が高まり、引抜き力及び押込み力に対してても抵抗が大きくなるという効果もある。

更に、ソイルセメント柱の底盤底は強度及び突起付鋼管杭の底盤底大部の強度または長さを引抜き力及び押込み力の大きさによって強化させることによってそれぞれの荷重に応じて最適な柱の施工が可能となり、経済的な柱が施工できるという効果もある。


4. 図面の簡単な説明

図1図はこの発明の一実施例を示す断面図、第2図(a)乃至(d)はソイルセメント合成柱の施工


工程を示す断面図、第3図は底盤ビットと底盤ビットが取り付けられた突起付鋼管杭を示す断面図、第4図は突起付鋼管杭の本体部と先端底大部部を示す断面図、第5図は突起付鋼管杭の本体部と先端底大部部を示す平面図、第6図は突起付鋼管杭の突起部を示す断面図、第7図は第6図に示す突起付鋼管柱の变形例の平面図、第8図は底盤底の地盤支持力を確保するための説明図、第9図は引抜き力に対する支承底の地盤支持力を確保するための説明図、第10図は押込み力に対する支承底の地盤支持力を確保するための説明図、第11図は従来のアースアンカー工法による状態を示す説明図、第12図は従来に底盤場所打前を示す断面図である。

(10)は地盤、(11)は軟弱層、(12)は支承底、(13)はソイルセメント柱、(13a)は柱一般部、(13b)は柱底強化部、(14)は突起付鋼管柱、(14a)は柱頭部、(14b)は底盤底大部部、(15)はソイルセメント合成柱。


代理人 井原士 佐々木宗治




第 2 図




第 3 図




第 4 図




第 6 図




第 5 図




第 7 図




第 8 図




第 9 図




第 10 図



第 11 図



第 12 図



特開昭64-75715(9)

第1頁の続き

◎発明者 広瀬 艾蔵 東京都千代田区丸の内1丁目1番2号 日本钢管株式会社  
内

CLIPPEDIMAGE= JP401075715A  
PAT-NO: JP401075715A  
DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: JP 01075715 A  
TITLE: SOIL CEMENT COMPOSITE PILE

PUBN-DATE: March 22, 1989

INVENTOR-INFORMATION:

NAME  
SENDA, SHOHEI  
NAITO, TEIJI  
NAGAOKA, HIROAKI  
OKAMOTO, TAKASHI  
TAKANO, KIMIHISA  
HIROSE, TETSUZO

ASSIGNEE-INFORMATION:

NAME NKK CORP	COUNTRY N/A
------------------	----------------

APPL-NO: JP62232536

APPL-DATE: September 18, 1987

INT-CL (IPC): E02D005/50; E02D005/44 ; E02D005/54  
US-CL-CURRENT: 405/232

ABSTRACT:

PURPOSE: To raise the drawing and penetrating forces of soil cement composite piles by a method in which a steel tubular pile having a projection with an expanded bottom end is penetrated into a soil cement column with an expanded bottom end in the ground before it hardens.

CONSTITUTION: A steel tubular pile 14 with a projection on the ground 10 is penetrated into the ground 10. An excavating tube 15 is turned and cement milk is injected from the tip of a stirring blade rod 17 while excavating the ground with a expandible blade bit 16 to form a soil cement column 13. When the column 13 reaches a given depth into soft ground layer 11, an expandible blade bit 15 is expanded to excavate an expanded-diameter pit down to the bearing layer 12 in order to form the column 13 with an expanded diameter portion 13b.

**COPYRIGHT: (C)1989,JPO&Japio**

(19) Japan Patent Office (JP)  
(12) Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication (A)  
(11) Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication Number S64-75715  
(43) Publication Date: March 22, 1989

(51) Int. Cl.  
E02D 5/50  
5/44  
5/54

Identification No.

Internal Filing No.  
8404-2D  
A-8404-2D  
8404-2D

Application for Inspection: Not yet filed  
Number of Inventions: 1 (total 9 pages)

(54) Title of the Invention: SOIL CEMENT COMPOSITE PILE

(21) Japanese Patent Application S62-232536

(22) Application Filed: September 18, 1987

(72) Inventor: Shouhei Chida 3-5-10 Matsuba, Ryuugasaki-shi, Ibaraki-ken  
(72) Inventor: Sadaji Naitou 1-4-4 Shinsaku, Takatsu-ku, Kawasaki-shi, Kanagawa-ken  
(72) Inventor: Hiroaki Nagaoka c/o NKK Corporation  
1-1-2 Marunouchi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo  
(72) Inventor: Takashi Okamoto c/o NKK Corporation  
1-1-2 Marunouchi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo  
(72) Inventor: Kimitoshi Takano c/o NKK Corporation  
1-1-2 Marunouchi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo  
(71) Applicant: NKK Corporation 1-1-2 Marunouchi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo  
(74) Agent: Patent Attorney Muneharu Sasaki and one other individual

Continued on final page

Specifications

1. Title of the Invention

Soil Cement Composite Pile

2. Scope of the Patent Claims

A soil cement composite pile that is characterized as comprising:

- (a) a soil cement column that is formed under the foundation, the bottom end having an expanded diameter, and has a pile bottom end expanded diameter region of prescribed length; and

(b) a projection steel pipe pile that is pressed into the soil cement column before hardening, and has a bottom end enlarged region of prescribed length on the bottom end [sic] that is united with the soil cement column after hardening.

### 3. Detailed Description of the Invention

#### (Field of Industrial Utilization)

This invention is related to a soil cement composite pile; in particular, a soil cement composite pile that improves pile strength with respect to the foundation.

#### (Prior Art)

Common piles oppose pulling force with their own weight and peripheral friction. Therefore, in structures such as steel towers with power transmission wires that have a large pulling force, the pulling force determines the designs of common piles, and they often result in uneconomical designs in which there is an excess pressing force. Thereby, as a method of construction that opposes pulling force, conventionally there has been the earth anchor construction method shown in Figure 11. In the figure, (1) is the structure, the steel tower, and (2) are pier studs of steel tower (1), portions of which are buried in foundation (3). (4) is an anchor cable, one end of which is connected to pier stud (2), (5) is the earth anchor that is buried deep within foundation (3), and (6) is the pile.

Steel towers created through the conventional earth anchor construction method are configured as described above, and if steel tower (1) sways laterally due to the wind, pulling forces and pressing forces act upon pier studs (2), but because earth anchors (5) that are buried deep within the earth are connected to pier studs (2) with anchor cables (4), the earth anchors (5) have large resistance with respect to pulling force and they prevent the collapse of steel tower (1). Moreover, pressing force is opposed by pile (6).

Next, as a focus with respect to pressing force, conventionally there has been the expanded bottom cast-in-place pile shown in Figure 12. This expanded bottom cast-in-place pile is constructed by excavating foundation (3) with an auger from soft layer (3a) to support layer (3b), forming post hole (7) that has expanded bottom region (7a) on the support layer (3b) position, building a reinforced cage (omitted from the figure) inside post hole (7) until expanded bottom region (7a), and thereafter casting concrete to form cast-in-place pile (8). (8a) is the shank of cast-in-place pile (8), and (8b) is the expanded bottom region of cast-in-place pile (8).

This conventional expanded bottom cast-in-place pile is configured as described above. Pulling forces and pressing forces act upon cast-in-place pile (8) in the same way, but the bottom end of cast-in-place pile (8) is formed as the expanded bottom region (8b), the support area is large, and resistance with respect to compressive force is large, so it has large resistance with respect to pressing force. [sic]

#### (Problems Addressed by the Invention)

With steel towers, for example, that are created through conventional earth anchor construction methods such as that described above, there was the problem in which, when the pressing force acts upon the tower, the anchor cables (4) buckle and the resistance with respect to pressing force becomes extremely weak, so in order to resist pressing force as well, it is necessary to simultaneously use a construction method that resists pressing force.

Moreover, with the conventional expanded bottom cast-in-place pile, the tensile resistance that opposes the pulling force depends on the quantity of reinforcement bars, but because concrete casting is adversely affected when the quantity of reinforcement bars is large, there was the problem in which the bar arrangement quantity of the a-a line cross section of Figure 12 of shank (8a) becomes 0.4 to 0.8%, and furthermore, the tensile resistance of cast-in-place pile (8) is equal to the tensile resistance of shank (8a) if the peripheral frictional strength between support layers (3a) of foundation (3) in the expanded bottom region (8b) of cast-in-place pile (8) is sufficient, and it is not possible to make the resistance large with respect to the pulling force of cast-in-place pile (8) even if there exists expanded bottom column region (8b).

This invention was created in order to solve these problems, so its object is to obtain a soil cement composite pile that can sufficiently resist with respect to both pulling force and pressing force.

(Means for Solving the Problems)

The soil cement composite pile of this invention comprises (a) a soil cement column that is formed under the foundation, the bottom end having an expanded diameter, and has a pile bottom end expanded diameter region of prescribed length, and (b) a projection steel pipe pile that is pressed into the soil cement column before hardening, and has a bottom end enlarged region of prescribed length on the bottom end that is united with the soil cement column after hardening.

(Operation)

In this invention, by creating a soil cement composite pile that comprises (a) a soil cement column that is formed under the foundation, the bottom end having an expanded diameter, and has a pile bottom end expanded diameter region of prescribed length, and (b) a projection steel pipe pile that is pressed into the soil cement column before hardening, and has a bottom end enlarged region of prescribed length on the bottom end that is united with the soil cement column after hardening, the soil cement composite pile tensile resistance becomes large in comparison to cast-in-place piles made of reinforced concrete due to the fact it has a built-in steel pipe pile. Furthermore, by establishing a pile bottom end expanded diameter region on the bottom end of the soil cement column, the periphery area between the support layer of the foundation and the soil cement column is increased, and the bearing capacity due to peripheral friction is increased. By establishing a bottom end enlarged region on the bottom end of the projection steel pipe pile in accordance with this bearing capacity increase, the peripheral frictional strength between the soil cement column and the steel pipe pile is increased, so even if the tensile resistance were to become large, the projection steel pipe pile would not drop out of the soil cement column.

(Examples of Embodiment)

Figure 1 is a cross sectional diagram that shows one example of embodiment of this invention; Figures 2 (a) through (d) are cross sectional diagrams that show the construction processes of the soil cement composite pile; Figure 3 is a cross sectional diagram that shows a projection steel pipe pile to which expansion wing bits are mounted; and Figure 4 is a plan view that shows the main body region and the bottom end enlarged region of the projection steel pipe pile.

In the figures, (10) is the foundation, (11) is the soft layer of foundation (10), (12) is the support layer of foundation (10), (13) is the soil cement column formed on the soft layer (11) and the support layer (12), (13a) is pile general region of soil cement column (13), (13b) is the pile bottom end expanded diameter region that has prescribed length  $d_2$ , (14) is the projection steel pipe pile that is pressed into soil cement column (13) and built up, (14a) is the main body region of steel pipe pile (14), (14b) is the bottom end enlarged pipe region that has a larger diameter than the main unit (14a) formed on the bottom end of steel pipe pile (13) and has prescribed length  $d_1$ , (15) is the excavating pipe that is inserted into steel pipe pile (14) and has expansion wing bit (16) on its tip, (16a) is the edge that is established on expansion wing bit (16), and (17) is a stirring rod.

The soil cement composite pile of this embodiment is constructed as shown in Figures 2 (a) through (d).

Projection steel pipe pile (14), which passes excavating pipe (15) that has expansion wing bit (16) into the interior, is established at a prescribed borehole position on foundation (10). Projection steel pipe pile (14) is screwed into foundation (10) using electromotive power, and while rotating excavating pipe (15) and boring with expansion wing bit (16), an infusing material such as cement mill made from a cement-family hardening agent is extracted from the tip of stirring rod (17), and soil cement column (13) is formed. Then, when soil cement column (13) reaches a prescribed depth in the soft layer (11) of foundation (10), expansion wing bit (15) is expanded and enlargement boring is performed and continued until support layer (12), and soil cement column (13), whose bottom end has an expanded diameter and has a pile bottom end expanded diameter region (13b) of prescribed length, is formed. At this time, projection steel pipe pile (14), which has bottom end enlarged pipe region (14b) with an expanded diameter on the bottom end, is also inserted into soil cement column (13). Furthermore, stirring rod (16) [sic] and excavating pipe (15) are drawn out prior to the hardening of soil cement column (13).

When the soil cement hardens, soil cement column (13) and projection steel pipe pile (14) become unified, and the formation of soil cement composite pile (18), which has cylindrical expanded diameter region (18b) on its bottom end, is completed. (18a) is the pile general region of soil cement composite pile (18).

In this example of embodiment, projection steel pipe pile (14) is also inserted simultaneously with the formation of soil cement column (13) to form soil cement composite pile (18), but it is also possible to form soil cement composite pile (18) by forming cement column (13) with an auger in advance soil and pressing projection steel pipe pile (14) prior to soil cement hardening.

Figure 6 is a cross sectional diagram that shows an example of variation of the projection steel pipe pile, and Figure 7 is a plan view of the example of variation of the projection steel pipe pile shown in Figure 6. This variation has on the bottom end of the main body region (24a) of projection steel pipe pile (24) bottom end expanded plate regions (24b) in which a plurality of projection plates project radially, so it functions in the same manner as projection steel pipe pile (14) shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4.

In the soil cement composite pile configured as described above, soil cement composite pile (18) is formed with soil cement column (13) that has strong compression resistance and projection steel pipe pile (14) that has strong tensile resistance, so not only the pressing force resistance with respect to the pile, but the resistance with respect to pulling force is also markedly improved in comparison to the conventional expanded bottom cast-in-place pile.

Moreover, if the tensile resistance of soil cement composite pile (18) is increased, if the bond strength between soil cement column (13) and joint steel pipe pile (14) is low, then there is the danger that projection steel pipe pile (14) will escape from soil cement column (13) due to pulling force before the entire soil cement composite pile (18) escapes from foundation (10). However, soil cement column (13) that is formed on the soft layer (11) and the support layer (12) of foundation (10) has on its bottom end a pile bottom end expanded diameter region (13b) with an expanded diameter and prescribed length, and bottom end enlarged pipe region (14b) with prescribed length on projection steel pipe pile (14) is located within this pile bottom end expanded diameter region (13b). Therefore, pile bottom end expanded diameter region (13b) is established on the bottom end of soil cement column (13), and even if the peripheral frictional strength between the support layer (12) of foundation (10) and soil cement column (13) increases because the periphery area at the bottom end becomes greater than the pile general region (13a), either bottom end enlarged pipe region (14b) or bottom end enlarged plate region (24b) is established on the bottom end of projection steel pipe pile (14) in response to this. The bond strength between soil cement column (13) and projection steel pipe pile (14) is increased by increasing the periphery area at the bottom end, so even if the tensile resistance becomes large, projection steel pipe pile (14) will not escape from soil cement column (13). Accordingly, in addition to pressing force with respect to the pile, of course, soil cement composite pile (18) will have large resistance with respect to pulling force as well. Moreover, the reason that the projection steel pipe pile (14) was used as the steel pipe pile was to increase the soil cement bond strength with the steel pipe in both the main body region (14a) and the bottom end enlarged region (14b).

Next, the pile diameter relationship in the soil cement composite pile of this example of embodiment will be described in detail.

If the diameter of the pile general region of soil cement column (13) =  $D_{so1}$ ,  
the diameter of the main body region of projection steel pipe pile (14) =  $D_{st1}$ ,  
the diameter of the bottom end expanded diameter region of soil cement column (13) =  $D_{so2}$ , and  
the diameter of the bottom end enlarged pipe region of projection steel pipe pile (14) =  $D_{st2}$ , then it is first necessary to satisfy the following conditions:

$$\begin{array}{ll} D_{so1} > D_{st1} & \dots (a) \\ D_{so2} > D_{so1} & \dots (b) \end{array}$$

Next, as shown in Figure 8, when the peripheral frictional strength per unit area between soil cement column (13) and the soft layer (11) in the pile general region of the soil cement composite pile is taken to be  $S_1$ , and the peripheral frictional strength per unit area of soil cement column (13) and projection steel pipe pile (14) is taken to be  $S_2$ , the soil cement combination is decided such that  $D_{so_1}$  and  $D_{st_1}$  satisfy the relation:

$$S_2 \geq S_1 \quad (D_{st_1}/D_{so_1}) \quad \dots (1)$$

By taking such a combination, soil cement column (13) and foundation (10) are made to mutually slide and peripheral frictional force is obtained.

Incidentally, if at this time the uniaxial compressive strength of the soft foundation is taken to be  $Qu = 1 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ , and the uniaxial compressive strength of the peripheral soil cement is taken to be  $Qu = 5 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ , then the peripheral frictional strength  $S_1$  per unit area between soil cement column (13) and soft layer (11) at this time becomes  $S_1 = Qu/2 = 0.5 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ .

Moreover, from experimental results, the peripheral frictional strength  $S_2$  per unit area between projection steel pipe pile (14) and soil cement column (13) can be expected to be  $S_2 \leq 0.4Qu \leq 0.4 \times 5 \text{ kg/cm}^2 \leq 2 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ . From the relation of formula (1) described above, when the uniaxial compressive strength of the soil cement becomes  $Qu = 5 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ , it is possible to make 4:1 the ratio of the diameter  $D_{so_1}$  of pile general region (13a) of soil cement column (13) to the diameter of main body region (14a) of projection steel pipe pile (14).

Next, the cylindrical expanded diameter region of the soil cement composite pile will be explained.

The diameter  $D_{st_2}$  of bottom end enlarged pipe region (14b) of projection steel pipe pile (14) is taken to be

$$D_{st_2} \leq D_{so_1} \quad \dots (c)$$

By satisfying the condition of the formula (c) above, the insertion of bottom end enlarged pipe region (14b) of projection steel pipe pile (14) becomes possible.

Next, the diameter  $D_{so_2}$  of the pile bottom end expanded diameter region (13b) of soil cement column (13) is determined as follows.

First, the case in which pulling force operates is considered.

As shown in Figure 9, if at this time the peripheral frictional strength per unit area between pile bottom end expanded diameter region (13b) of soil cement column (13) and support layer (12) is taken to be  $S_3$ , the peripheral frictional strength per unit area between the pile front end expanded diameter region (13b) of soil cement column (13) and the bottom end enlarged pipe region (14b) or the front end enlarged plate region (24b) of projection steel pipe pile (14) is taken to be  $S_4$ , the bond area of the pile bottom end expanded diameter region (13b) of soil cement column (13) and the front end enlarged plate region (24b) of projection steel pipe pile (14) is taken to be  $A_4$ , and the bearing force is taken to be  $F_{b_1}$ , then diameter  $D_{so_2}$  of expanded bottom region (8b) is determined in the following manner:

$$\pi \times D_{so_2} \times S_3 \times d_2 + F_{b_1} \leq A_4 \times S_4 \quad \dots (2)$$

As for  $F_{b_1}$ , cases in which the soil cement region is destroyed and the earth of the upper region is destroyed can be considered, but as shown in Figure 9,  $F_{b_1}$  can be expressed with the following formula as a shear fracturing force:

$$F_{b_1} = (Qu \times 2) \times (D_{so_2} - D_{so_1}) \times \frac{\sqrt{2} \times \pi \times (D_{so_2} + D_{so_1})}{2} \quad \dots (3)$$

At this time, the layer that becomes the support layer (12) of soil cement composite pile (18) is either sand or gravel. Therefore, in pile bottom end expanded diameter region (13b) of soil cement column (13), the strength of the soil cement that becomes concrete mortar is large, and strength greater than the order of uniaxial compressive strength  $Qu \approx 100 \text{ kg/cm}^2$  can be expected.

Here,  $Qu \approx 100 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ ,  $Dso_1 = 1.0 \text{ m}$ , length  $d_1$  of the bottom end enlarged pipe region (14b) of projection steel pipe pile (14) is taken to be  $2.0 \text{ m}$ , length  $d_2$  of pile bottom end expanded diameter region (13b) of soil cement column (13) is taken to be  $2.5 \text{ m}$ , and if  $0.5 N \leq 20 \text{ t/m}^2$  when support layer (12) is sandy soil from the highway bridge specification, then  $S_3 = 20 \text{ t/m}^2$  and  $S_4 = 0.4 \times Qu = 400 \text{ t/m}^2$  from experimental results. When  $A_4$  is the bottom end enlarged pipe region (14b) of projection steel pipe pile (14), if  $Dso_1 = 1.0 \text{ m}$  and  $d_1 = 2.0 \text{ m}$ , then:

$$A_4 = \pi \times Dso_1 \times d_1 = 3.14 \times 1.0 \text{ m} \times 2.0 = 6.28 \text{ m}^2.$$

Substituting these values into the aforementioned formula (2), and further substituting them into formula (3),

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{if } Dst_1 = Dso_1 \cdot S_2/S_1, \text{ then} \\ &Dst_2 \approx 2.2 \text{ m.} \end{aligned}$$

Next, the case in which pressing force operates is considered.

As shown in Figure 10, if at this time the peripheral frictional strength per unit area between pile bottom end expanded diameter region (13b) of soil cement column (13) and the support layer (12) is taken to be  $S_3$ , the peripheral frictional strength per unit area of pile bottom expanded diameter region (13b) of soil cement column (13) and bottom end enlarged pipe region (14b) or bottom end enlarged plate region (24b) of projection steel pipe pile (14) is taken to be  $S_4$ , the bond area of pile bottom expanded diameter region (13b) of soil cement column (13) and bottom end enlarged pipe region (14b) or bottom end enlarged plate region (24b) of projection steel pipe pile (14) is taken to be  $A_4$ , and the bearing force is taken to be  $fb_2$ , then the diameter  $Dso_2$  of bottom expanded diameter region (13b) of soil cement column (13) is determined in the following manner:

$$\pi \times Dso_2 \times S_3 \times d_2 + fb_2 \times \pi \times (Dso_2/2)^2 \leq A_4 \times S_4 \quad \dots (4)$$

At this time, the layer that becomes the support layer (12) of soil cement composite pile (18) is either sand or gravel. Therefore, in pile bottom end expanded diameter region (13b) of soil cement column (13), the strength of the soil cement that becomes concrete mortar is large, and the uniaxial compressive strength  $Qu$  can be expected to be approximately  $1000 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ .

Here,  $Qu \approx 100 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ ,  $Dso_1 = 1.0 \text{ m}$ ,  $d_1 = 2.0 \text{ m}$ , and  $d_2 = 2.5 \text{ m}$ ;  
 $fb_2 = 20 \text{ t/m}^2$  when support layer (12) is sandy soil from the highway bridge specification;  
 $S_3 = 20 \text{ t/m}^2$  if  $0.5 N \leq 20 \text{ t/m}^2$  from the highway bridge specification;  
 $S_4 \approx 0.4 \times Qu \approx 400 \text{ t/m}^2$  from experimental results;  
and when  $A_4$  is the bottom end enlarged pipe region (14b) of projection steel pipe pile (14),

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{if } Dso_1 = 1.0 \text{ m and } d_1 = 2.0 \text{ m, then} \\ &A_4 = \pi \times Dso_1 \times d_1 = 3.14 \times 1.0 \text{ m} \times 2.0 = 6.28 \text{ m}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting these values into formula (4) described above,

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{if } Dst_2 \leq Dso_1, \text{ then} \\ &Dso_2 \approx 2.1 \text{ m.} \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, as for diameter  $Dso_2$  of pile bottom end expanded diameter region (14a) of soil cement column (13),  $Dso_2$  that is determined by pulling force becomes approximately  $2.2 \text{ m}$ , and  $Dso_2$  that is determined by pressing force becomes approximately  $2.1 \text{ m}$ .

Finally, the tensile resistance of the soil cement composite pile of this invention will be compared with the tensile resistance of the conventional expanded bottom cast-in-place pile.

With regard to the conventional expanded bottom cast-in-place pile, if the axis diameter of shank (8a) of cast-in-place pile (8) is taken to be 1000 mm and the tensile resistance of the shank when the bar arrangement quantity is set to 0.8% is calculated for the a-a line cross section of Figure 12 of shank (8a), then the reinforcement bar quantity is:

$$\frac{100^2 \pi \times 0.8}{4} = 62.83 \text{ cm}^2$$

If the tensile resistance of the reinforcement bars is taken to be 3000 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>, then the tensile resistance of the shank is  $62.83 \times 3000 \approx 188.5$  tons.

Here, the reason that the tensile resistance of the shank is taken to be the tensile resistance of the reinforcement bars is that concrete cannot rely on tensile resistance, so cast-in-place pile (8) is supported by reinforcement bars alone if it is reinforced concrete.

Next, with regard to the soil cement composite pile of this invention, if the shank of the pile general region (13a) of soil cement column (13) is taken to be 1000 mm, the bore diameter of main body region (14a) of projection steel pipe pile (14) is taken to be 300 mm, and the thickness is taken to be 19 mm, then the steel pipe cross sectional area is 461.2 cm<sup>2</sup>.

If the tensile resistance of the steel pipe is taken to be 2400 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>, then the tensile strength of main body region (14a) of projection steel pipe pile (14) is  $466.2 \times 2400 \approx 1118.9$  tons.

Accordingly, this becomes approximately six times the coaxial diameter expanded bottom cast-in-place pile. Therefore, in comparison to the conventional examples, it has become possible with the soil cement composite pile of this invention to establish large resistance with respect to pulling force by establishing a bottom end enlarged region on the bottom end of the projection steel pipe pile and increasing the bond strength between the soil cement column and the steel pipe pile.

#### (Effects of the Invention)

As explained above, this invention forms a soil cement composite pile that comprises (a) a soil cement column that is formed under the foundation, the bottom end having an expanded diameter, and has a pile bottom end expanded diameter region of prescribed length, and (b) a projection steel pipe pile that is pressed into the soil cement column before hardening, and has a bottom end enlarged region of prescribed length on the bottom end [sic] that is united with the soil cement column after hardening. Therefore, because a soil cement construction method is employed at the time of construction, it has a low noise level, low vibration, and little waste. Furthermore, because it uses a steel pipe pile, the tensile resistance is improved in comparison to the conventional expanded bottom cast-in-place pile. In step with the improvement of tensile resistance, the bond strength between the soil cement column and the steel pipe pile is increased by establishing a bottom end enlarged region on the bottom end of the projection steel pipe pile and increasing the periphery area with the bottom end, so there is also the effect that the projection steel pipe pile will not escape from the soil cement column and it has large resistance with respect to pulling force.

Moreover, because a projection steel pipe pile is used, the bond adherence with respect to the soil cement column increases, so there is also the effect that the resistance therefore becomes large with respect to both pulling force and pressing force.

Furthermore, optimal pile construction is possible with respect to each of the loads by modifying the diameters of lengths of the pile bottom end expanded diameter region of the soil cement column or the bottom end enlarged region of the projection steel pipe pile according to the sizes of the pulling force and the pressing force, so there is also the effect that economical piles can be constructed.

#### **4. Brief Description of the Drawings**

Figure 1 is a cross sectional diagram that shows one example of embodiment of this invention; Figures 2 (a) through (d) are cross sectional diagrams that show the construction process of the soil cement composite pile; Figure 3 is a cross sectional diagram that shows a projection steel pipe pile to which expansion wing bits are mounted; Figure 4 is a cross sectional diagram that shows the main body region and the bottom end enlarged region of the projection steel pipe pile; Figure 5 is a plan view that shows the main body region and the front end enlarged pipe region of this projection steel pipe pile; Figure 6 is a cross sectional diagram that shows an example of variation of the projection steel pipe pile; Figure 7 is a plan view of the example of variation of the projection steel pipe pile shown in Figure 6; Figure 8 is an explanatory diagram for the purpose of securing the foundation bearing capacity of the soft layer; Figure 9 is an explanatory diagram for the purpose of securing the foundation bearing capacity of the support layer with respect to pulling force; Figure 10 is an explanatory diagram for the purpose of securing the foundation bearing capacity of the support layer with respect to pressing force; Figure 11 is an explanatory diagram that shows a steel tower created through the conventional earth anchor construction method; and Figure 12 is a cross sectional diagram that shows the conventional expanded bottom cast-in-place pile.

(10) is the foundation, (11) is the soft layer, (12) is the support layer, (13) is the soil cement column, (13a) is the pile general region, (13b) is the pile bottom end expanded diameter region, (14) is the projection steel pipe pile, (14a) is the main body, (14b) is the bottom end enlarged pipe region, and (18) is the soil cement composite pile.

Agent Muneharu Sasaki; Patent Attorney

[see source for figures]

Figure 1

- 10: Foundation
- 11: Soft layer
- 12: Support layer
- 13: Soil cement column
- 13a: Pile general region
- 13b: Pile bottom end expanded diameter region
- 14: Projection steel pipe pile
- 14a: Main body
- 14b: Bottom end enlarged pipe region
- 18: Soil cement composite pile

Agent Patent Attorney Muneharu Sasaki

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 6

Figure 5

Figure 7

Figure 8

**Figure 9**  
**Pulling Force**

**Figure 10**  
**Pressing Force**

**Figure 11**

**Figure 12**

**Continued from the first page**

(72) Inventor:      Tetsuzou Hirose

c/o NKK Corporation  
1-1-2 Marunouchi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo



TRANSUPERFECT | TRANSLATIONS

## AFFIDAVIT OF ACCURACY

I, Kim Stewart, hereby certify that the following is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true and accurate translations performed by professional translators of the following patents/abstracts from Japanese to English:

**Patent 64-75715**

**Patent 2000-94068**

**Patent 2000-107870**


ATLANTA  
BOSTON  
BRUSSELS  
CHICAGO  
DALLAS  
FRANKFURT  
HOUSTON  
LONDON  
LOS ANGELES  
MIAMI  
MINNEAPOLIS  
NEW YORK  
PARIS  
PHILADELPHIA  
SAN DIEGO  
SAN FRANCISCO  
SEATTLE  
WASHINGTON, DC

*Kim Stewart*  
Kim Stewart  
TransPerfect Translations, Inc.  
3600 One Houston Center  
1221 McKinney  
Houston, TX 77010

Sworn to before me this  
26th day of February 2002.

*Maria A. Serna*

Maria A. Serna  
Signature, Notary Public



Stamp, Notary Public

Harris County

Houston, TX

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record.**

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- BLACK BORDERS**
- IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- OTHER:** \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**